

Hatchett stated that he was reluctant to approve an agenda that might contain items that the Board should not be taking up that day.

Acting Chair Walston replied that although the agenda being presented for approval was the one that was received by the Regents that morning, any items added to it could be moved to a special call meeting and not addressed during the meeting session.

Mr. Atwell, the Board's secretary and the University's in-house counsel, suggested that a motion be made to approve the agenda with the additional items; if it were to pass, the Board would proceed, and if not, those items would be removed from the agenda.

Regent Fields restated his motion to approve the agenda for the April 12, 2023, meeting of the Board of Regents to include the action items that had been given this morning.

Regent Dukes seconded Regent Fields' motion.

Regent Hatchett commented that this action was in contravention to the requirements of the Gold Book.

was essentially saying that until now, the Board had only been talking, and not acting, to improve the student experience. Regent Briggs replied affirmatively.

Regent Moseley expressed his concern that students don't know who the University's President or VP of Student Engagement are, and further stated that these people have to get out on campus and spend time with students. Regent Moseley also queried what the Board is doing to fix the things that they can fix now.

Regent Adams commented that during his campus visit, he was not checked by any RA's whatsoever during his dorm visits, identifying this as a safety risk to students. Additionally, Regent Adams reported

Ms. Murray shared three areas of accomplishment (completed audit reports for Accounts Payable business practices, vendor contract review, and grade change process review), and identified six additional areas of concern.

Chair Dukes requested that CBIZ be present at the next audit committee meeting and share the detailed reports that had been completed.

Chair Dukes further asked that CBIZ personnel attend the next Board meeting in person.

Dr. Burnette invited Dr. Edwards to elaborate on some of the other potentially problematic areas that he had observed.

Ms. Murray continued, identifying three significant issues that had been identified during the three completed audits. Ms. Murray then invited

Following the Blue and Co. update, Dr. Burnette introduced Ms. Tonya Walker, Controller, who provided the Controller Update.

Regent Walston posed a question regarding drawdowns.

Regent Moseley asked whether KSU is still projected to have six million dollars cash on hand at the end of the physical year even though there were unfunded budget requests and enrollment did not reach the projected goal.

Regent Moseley inquired as to the number of outstanding bills remaining, and asked whether all outstanding bills were caught up. Ms. Walker replied that they were not caught up yet.

Regent Moseley asked when the outstanding bills would be fully paid, and also inquired into the status of the elevator repair in the Academic Services Building.

Next, Ms. Walker discussed debt collection activities with Keys2Recovery collections agency. Ms. Walker further identified an issue which had resulted from an incorrect file being sent from KSU to Keys2Recovery, and explained how the error was being corrected.

As to the money that was to be collected, Regent Moseley queried what the minimum amount was being collected, and what percentage of the amount collected is being charged by Keys2Recovery.

Following that conversation, Ms. Walker presented upcoming activities over the next three months.

Regent Hatchett noted that the focus seemed to be on debt collection from former students and asked what is being done about current students who owe money to the University.

Regent Walston inquired whether HEERF Funds need

would be ready for Board approval within the next six to twelve months. However, he also suggested a review of

Regent Walston inquired as to the status of the Upward Bound program and whether they have a one hundred percent (100%) director of that program.

Regent Briggs asked about the Pre-College Academy and what that would look like in the upcoming year, specifically inquiring why it had been reduced to two weeks. Dr. Golman referred the question to Dr. Stephanie Mayberry.

Regent Briggs asked Dr. Golman whether the Breds Office was sufficiently staffed, and whether they had been brought to the table regarding recruitment for KSU.

This Agenda item was presented by Dr. Michael Dailey, Interim Provost and VP, Academic Affairs.

Dr. Dailey began with an update as to the faculty evaluation process, as mandated by HB 250. He also discussed the annual performance evaluation components and distribution of ratings, including discrepancies between the Chairs' reviews and Deans' reviews.

Dr. Dailey further addressed the next steps to be taken following the

Interim President Johnson

When the Board reconvened from its closed session, Chair Dukes stated that no action had been taken during the closed session. For the record, Chair Dukes clarified all action items that had been approved.

The Board's Chair presented this Agenda item.

by Regent Moseley:

Move the Board to approve the Resolution of Appreciation for Dr. Gerald Patton.
Seconded by Regent Walston, and passed without dissent.

XIII.

Observing that the Board has a long road ahead of them as they move into fiscal year 2023–2024, Chair Dukes closed the meeting by stating that she believes that KSU is on an upward trajectory.

by Regent Walston:

Move the Board to adjourn.
Seconded by Regent Moyer and passed without dissent.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:21 p.m.

Submitted by:



Zach Atwell, Board Secretary
Kentucky State University
Board of Regents



Regent Tammi Dukes, Chair
Kentucky State University
Board of Regents

Approved with no corrections

Approved with corrections